Introduction to Next-Generation Sequencing Data and Analysis

> Utah State University – Spring 2014 STAT 5570: Statistical Bioinformatics Notes 6.3

## References

- Auer & Doerge (2009), "Statistical Issues in Next-Generation Sequencing", Proceedings of Kansas State University Conference on Applied Statistics in Agriculture
- Anders & Huber (2010), "Differential Expression Analysis for Sequence Count Data", Genome Biology 11:R106
- Gohlmann & Talloen (2009) Gene Expression Studies Using Affymetrix Microarrays [Ch. 9 – "Future Perspectives"]
- Backman, Sun, and Girke (2011) HT Sequence Analysis with R and Bioconductor [accessed March 2014 at <a href="http://manuals.bioinformatics.ucr.edu/home/ht-seq">http://manuals.bioinformatics.ucr.edu/home/ht-seq</a> ]

**General DNA sequencing** 

- Sanger
  - 1970's today
  - most reliable, but expensive
- Next-generation [high-throughput] (NGS):
  - Genome Sequencer FLC (GS FLX, by 454 Sequencing)
  - Illumina's Solexa Genome Analyzer
  - Applied Biosystems SOLiD platform
  - others ...
  - Key difference from microarrays: no probes on arrays, but sequence (and identify) all sequences present

# Common features of NGS technologies (1)

- fragment prepared genomic material
  - biological system's RNA molecules  $\rightarrow$  RNA-Seq
  - DNA or RNA interaction regions
     → ChIP-Seq, HITS-CLIP
  - others ...
- sequence these fragments (at least partially)
  - produces HUGE data files (~10 million fragments sequenced)

# Common features of NGS technologies (2)

- align sequenced fragments with reference sequence
  - usually, a known target genome (gigo...)
  - alignment tools: ELAND, MAQ, SOAP, Bowtie, others
  - often done with command-line tools
  - still a major computational challenge
- count number of fragments mapping to certain regions
  - usually, genes
  - these read counts linearly approximate target transcript abundance

## Example – 3 treated vs. 4 untreated; read counts for 14,470 genes

- Published 2010 (Brooks et al., Genome Research)
- Drosophila melanogaster
- 3 samples "treated" by knock-down of "pasilla" gene (thought to be involved in regulation of splicing)

	т1	т2	т3	<b>U1</b>	U2	<b>U</b> 3	U4
FBgn0000003	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
FBgn0000008	78	46	43	47	89	53	27
FBgn0000014	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
FBgn0000015	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
FBgn0000017	3187	1672	1859	2445	4615	2063	1711
FBgn0000018	369	150	176	288	383	135	174

library(pasilla); data(pasillaGenes)
eset <- counts(pasillaGenes)
colnames(eset) <- c('T1','T2','T3','U1','U2','U3','U4')
head(eset)</pre>

#### Here, RNA-Seq:

- similar biological objective to microarrays
  - recall central dogma: DNA → mRNA → protein
     → action
  - quantify [mRNA] transcript abundance
- Isolate RNA from cells, fragment at random positions, and copy into cDNA
- Attach adapters to ends of cDNA fragments, and bind to flow cell (Illumina has glass slide with 8 such lanes – so can process 8 samples on one slide)
- Amplify cDNA fragments in certain size range (e.g., 200-300 bases) using PCR → clusters of same fragment
- Sequence base-by-base for all clusters in parallel



(orginally illumina.com download)

<sup>2</sup> (orginally illumina.com download)

#### Cartoons

• Imaging the sequence ("cutting edge imaging technology") (1:40-2:20 of <u>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d2AxXv\_6UTQ</u>)



• See also "Illumina sequencing" http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=199aKKHcxC4

## Then align and map ...

- For sequence at each cluster, compare to [align with] reference genome; file format:
  - millions of clusters per lane
  - approx. 1 GB file size per lane
- For regions of interest in reference genome (genes, here), count number of clusters mapping there

• requires well-studied and well-documented genome



#### But wait ...

- limma/eBayes implicitly assumes continuous data for each gene k:
  - Recall matrix representation (slide 5 of Notes 3.4)  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{ii}$  iid N(0, $\sigma^2$ )
  - Recall contrast and its moderated test statistic (slides 11 and 12 of Notes 3.4)

$$\Psi = \sum_{i} w_{i} \beta_{i} \qquad \widetilde{F} = \frac{1}{\widetilde{\sigma}_{k}^{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{\widehat{\Psi}}{w' V w}\right) \div \widetilde{F}_{1,(d_{0}+d_{k})}$$

• But these data are counts – discrete

#### **Poisson Regression**

- $E[R_i] = N_i p_i = N_i \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 T_i)$
- $log(E[R_i]) = log N_i + \beta_0 + \beta_1 T_i$

estimate β's using iterative MLE procedure
 not interesting, but important
 – call this the "offset";
 often considered the "exposure" for sample i

• Do this for one gene in R (here, gene 2):

```
trt <- c(1,1,1,0,0,0,0)
R <- eset[2,]
lExposure <- log(colSums(eset))
a1 <- glm(R ~ trt, family=poisson, offset=lExposure)
summary(al)</pre>
```

## Now consider Poisson regression (data as counts)

- As with previous models, on a per-gene basis:
  - Let  $N_i = \#$  of total fragments counted in sample *i*
  - Let  $p_i = P\{$  fragment matches to gene in sample  $i \}$
- Observed # of total reads for gene in sample *i* :
  - $R_i \sim \text{Poisson}(N_i p_i)$
  - $E[R_i] = Var[R_i] = N_i p_i$
- Let  $T_i$  = indicator of trt. status (0/1) for sample *i* 
  - Assume  $log(p_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 T_i$
  - Test for DE using  $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$

Call:							
glm(formula = R ~ trt, family = poisson, offset = lExposure)							
Deviance Residuals:							
T1 T2 T3 U1 U2 U3 U4							
0.3690 0.4516 -0.9047 -0.7217 0.5862 2.3048 -2.5286							
Coefficients:							
Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(> z )							
(Intercept) -II.85250 0.06804 -I/4.19 <2e-10 ***							
trt 0.05875 0.10304 0.57 0.569							
Signif. codes: 0 `***' 0.001 `**' 0.01 `*' 0.05 `.' 0.1 ` ' 1							
(Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)							
Null deviance: 14.053 on 6 degrees of freedom							
Residual deviance: 13 729 on 5 degrees of freedom							
ALC. 30.17							
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations, A							
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4							

19



#### Major Advantages of NGS

- No artifacts of cross-hybridization (noise, background, etc.)
- Better estimation of low-abundance transcripts
- "Dynamic Range"
  - no technical limitation as with intensity observations
  - Aside: this would be violated by quantile normalization [in tails of distributions] — so instead consider RPKM normalization (reads per kilobase of exon model per million)
- Cost expected to improve in coming years

## Remaining issues with NGS

- Practical problem with sample preparation possible low reads for A/T-rich regions
- High error rates due to sample preparation / amplification and dependence of read quality on base position
- Image quality (bubbles, etc.)
- File size [huge] expected to soon be cheaper to re-run experiment than to store data
  - but what about sample availability?
  - value in older files (as with .CEL for microarrays)
- Sequence mapping methods and implementations

## Interesting statistical questions

- Fully accounting for all sources of variationslide, lane, etc.
- Error propogation
  - counts estimate transcript abundance
  - alignment
- Accounting for gene lengthoffset?
- Effective statistical computing
  - sifting through massive alignment files

## A Rough Timeline of Arrivals

- (1995+) Microarrays
  - require probes fixed in advance only set up to detect those
- (2005+) Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS)
  - typically involves amplification of genomic material (PCR)
- (2010+) Third-Generation Sequencing
  - "next-next-generation" Pac Bio, Ion Torrent
  - no amplification needed can sequence single molecule
  - longer reads possible; still (<u>as of 2013</u>) showing high errors
- (2012+) Nanopore-Based Sequencing
  - Oxford Nanopore, Genia, others
  - bases identified as whole molecule slips through nanoscale hole (like threading a needle); coupled with disposable cartridges; still (<u>as of 2013</u>) <u>under development</u>
- (?+) more ...

## Conclusions

- NGS a powerful tool for transcriptomics
- Computational challenges
  - storage (sequencing and alignment files)
- Most meaningful to use count-data models
  - Up next: a negative binomial model with DESeq
- Issues (technological and statistical) remain